

TEST RESULTS OF PHASE 2 LEVEL B SUITS TO CHALLENGE BY  
CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WARFARE AGENTS AND SIMULANTS:  
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Robert S. Lindsay

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE

February 2001

Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.

### Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)		2. REPORT DATE February 2001		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final; 99 Jan – 99 Sep
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Test Results of Phase 2 Level B Suits to Challenge by Chemical and Biological Warfare Agents and Simulants: Executive Summary			5. FUNDING NUMBERS  None	
6. AUTHOR(S) Lindsay, Robert S.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  DIR, ECBC, ATTN: AMSSB-RRT, APG, MD 21010-5424			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  ECBC-TR-	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  CDR, SBCCOM, ATTN: AMSSB-RTD, APG, MD 21010-5424			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Swatches from seven commercially available Level B protective suits were challenged with liquid droplets of Sarin (GB) and mustard (HD) using modifications of the static diffusion procedure described in TOP 8-2-501. The cumulative mass of each agent that permeated each swatch was determined over time, and the results for all swatches were used to determine a weighted-average cumulative mass for each suit. From these data, a breakthrough time was calculated for each suit for the purposes of comparison. In addition, intact suits were challenged with corn-oil aerosol to simulate a biological aerosol. Protection factors were determined for each suit.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS  HD Swatch testing Chemical protective suits GB Permeation testing Aerosol testing			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  <b>UL</b>	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18  
298-102

Blank

## Executive Summary

As part of the Domestic Preparedness Program, seven Occupational Safety and Health Level B\* suit designs were tested to assess their capability to protect in a chemical warfare (CW) agent or biological agent environment. Swatches of material from each suit design were tested for resistance to permeation for Sarin (GB) and mustard (HD). From this data, the authors calculated the estimated time it would take to permeate the suit with sufficient agent to cause physiological effects in a person wearing the suit. Each suit design was also tested for its protection factor in an aerosol environment (aerosolized corn oil, which may be representative of a chemical or biological agent, was used). Protection factor is defined as the ratio between the challenge concentration outside the suit and the measured concentration inside the suit. The tests are described, and the calculated breakthrough times and overall protection factors are presented.

---

\* Level B protection consists of chemical-resistant clothing (overalls and long-sleeved jacket; hooded one or two piece chemical splash suit; disposable chemical-resistant one-piece suit), inner and outer gloves, chemical-resistant safety boots and hard hat with pressure-demand full-facepiece SCBA or pressure-demand supplied-air respirator with escape SCBA. Level B, rather than Level A, protection is used when a high level of respiratory protection is required but less skin protection is needed.

Blank

## **Preface**

The work described in this report was authorized under the Expert Assistance (Equipment Test) Program for the U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command (SBCCOM) Program Director for Domestic Preparedness.

The use of either trade or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

This report has been approved for public release. Registered users should request additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center; unregistered users should direct such requests to the National Technical Information Service.

Blank



## CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	9
2.	LIQUID CHALLENGE/VAPOR PENETRATION TEST (SWATCH TEST).....	10
3.	SYSTEM TEST (AEROSOL SIMULANT).....	10
4.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	12

## TABLES

1.	Swatch Test Results for Level B Suits .....	11
2.	Aerosol Test Exercise Routine.....	11
3.	Summary of Overall Aerosol Test Results.....	12

## TEST RESULTS OF PHASE 2 LEVEL B SUITS TO CHALLENGE BY CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WARFARE AGENTS: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1996, responding to Public Law 104 – 201 (Defense Against Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996), the Department of Defense (DoD) formed the Domestic Preparedness Program. One of the objectives was to enhance federal, state, and local emergency and hazardous material (HAZMAT) response to nuclear, biological and chemical (NBC) terrorism incidents. In some cases, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Level B protective suits may be required to enter a contaminated or potentially contaminated area. Limited data was available concerning the effectiveness of commercially available and commonly used OSHA Level B suits as protection against chemical warfare (CW) agents. Recognizing this need, the U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command (SBCCOM) established a program to test some of the Level B suit designs, using CW agents and test procedures developed for assessment of military-issue CW protective equipment. A detailed technical report was generated for each suit design tested, and a summary report was prepared that presented the essential results for all the suits in a single document. Because those reports are rather lengthy and technical, this report was prepared. This report is an overview of the results of the evaluation and is intended primarily for federal, state and local emergency and HAZMAT personnel as an aid in their evaluation (and possible modification) of current work rules regarding specific Level B suits currently in inventory and as an aid in future procurement of appropriate Level B suits.

The suits and suit materials were tested in new, as-received condition. The effects of aging, temperature extremes, laundering, and other factors were beyond the scope of this test program. The Level B suits are chemical-resistant clothing that protect the wearer from liquid chemicals. Air is supplied by a pressure-demand full-facepiece self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) or pressure-demand supplied-air respirator with escape SCBA. These tests addressed percutaneous (i.e. skin) protection only and not the air supply system.

Each suit was tested in two different ways, the measurement of permeation of both GB and HD through material swatches and measurement of the total aerosol leakage into the suits when worn as part of a complete personal protective equipment (PPE) system under simulated conditions. In the permeation tests, sample swatches were cut from selected areas (the basic suit material, a suit seam, and four other areas that were dependent upon the suit configuration) of each suit design. These swatches were then exposed to the chemical agents Mustard (HD) and Sarin (GB), and the vapor permeation of agent through them measured. Sarin is a non-persistent (volatile) nerve agent, and HD is a persistent blister agent. In the aerosol tests, each suit design was donned by volunteer testers, who carried out a prescribed sequence of movements inside a test chamber containing a controlled aerosol of corn oil that is a non-toxic simulant for chemical and biological agent aerosols. Instrumentation continuously measured the concentration of simulant inside the suit. Each of these tests examined different aspects of the protection provided by the suits.

## 2. LIQUID CHALLENGE/VAPOR PENETRATION TEST (SWATCH TEST)

For each suit design under test, six swatches (three to be tested with GB and three with HD) were taken from each of six different areas of the suit – 36 total swatches per suit design. The swatches were placed in a test fixture and a predetermined ( $10 \text{ g/m}^2$ ) liquid agent challenge, GB or HD, was applied to the top surface of each swatch, and the fixture sealed. Periodically, over 24 hr, gas samples were taken from below the swatches. The amount of agent vapor that permeated the test swatch at each sampling time was measured using a highly sensitive, accurate, miniaturized gas chromatograph and sampling system known as MINICAMS™ (OI Analytical, CMS Field Products Group, Birmingham, AL).

The cumulative mass of agent, which has permeated each of the swatches at each sampling time, divided by the area of the swatch, is defined as the permeation,  $M_f$ .

The permeation for each area of the suit tested was compared with other areas and other suit designs. Normally, continuous exposure to chemical agent would not exceed 8 hr (480 min) because of heat stress and fatigue, so the permeation, which occurs in the subsequent 16 hr, is of less interest.

Weighted average  $M_f$  values were calculated for each suit design. As an example, the equation for the Kappler CPF1 was:

$$\text{Weighted average } M_f = 0.5(\text{suit material } M_f) + 0.2(\text{suit seam } M_f) + 0.1(\text{boot material } M_f) + 0.05(\text{boot seam } M_f) + 0.1(\text{hood material } M_f) + 0.05(\text{zipper/material interface } M_f)$$

Mustard vapor can produce skin irritation (erythema) at dosages (product of concentration and exposure time) of approximately  $100 \text{ mg-min/m}^3$ . Sarin vapor can produce incapacitation at dosages of approximately  $8000 \text{ mg-min/m}^3$ . Skin permeabilities were estimated to be 2 cm/min for HD and 0.1 cm/min for GB. Breakthrough  $M_f$  values were then calculated as reported by Lindsay<sup>1</sup>. The equation was:

$$\text{Breakthrough } M_f (\text{ng/cm}^2) = \text{Skin permeability, } P_s (\text{cm/min}) \times \text{Breakthrough Dosage (mg-min/m}^3).$$

The calculated breakthrough times from all the suit swatches were collected and presented in Table 1.

---

<sup>1</sup> Lindsay, R. S., *Test Results of Phase 2 Level B Suits to Challenge by Chemical and Biological Warfare Agents and Simulants: Summary Report*, Draft Report, U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, February 2001, UNCLASSIFIED Report.

Table 1. Swatch Test Results for Level B Suits

Item	Breakthrough time, minutes	
	GB	HD
TFR4 CB Protective Coverall	76	107
Kappler ProShield 3 Coverall	16	12
Kappler CPF1 Coverall	19	14
Kappler CPF2 Coverall	30	18
Kappler CPF4 Coverall	102	303
Kappler Responder (41255-8A)	156	120
Kappler Level B CSM Responder (42489)	>879	174

### 3. SYSTEM TEST (AEROSOL SIMULANT)

This test measures the leakage of corn-oil aerosol (physical simulant for biological aerosols) into a suit ensemble. In this test, a volunteer donned an ensemble of a suit design (using a SCBA). The volunteer then entered the test chamber that contained a controlled concentration of aerosolized corn oil. The volunteer performed prescribed exercises in the test chamber, while low-volume air samples were taken from within the suit at the neck and upper arm and the corn-oil concentrations recorded continuously.

Eight different suits of each design were available in a range of sizes to fit the volunteers who participated in the test. A total of at least 22 test runs using at least 10 different volunteers, were completed for each suit design. During the test run, the volunteer performed each of the 8 pre-operational exercises for 1 min and each of the 8 operational exercises for 4 min. See Table 2. The total exposure/exercise time for each complete test run was therefore 40 min  $((8 \times 1) + (8 \times 4) = 40)$ .

Table 2. Aerosol Test Exercise Routine

Phase of Test	Description of Exercise
Phase 1 (Pre-Operational) – Each exercise performed for 1 min.	1) Standing still, normal breathing
	2) Bending forward and touching toes
	3) Jogging in place
	4) Raising arms above head and looking upward
	5) Bending knees and squatting
	6) Crawling on hands and knees
	7) Torso twists with hands folded on chest
	8) Standing still, normal breathing
Phase 2 (Operational) – Each exercise performed for 4 min.	1) Climb step ladder
	2) Move 3-lb boxes from table to floor
	3) Rest
	4) Roll walls and ceiling with paint roller
	5) Bag clothes
	6) Rest
	7) Loosen bolts
	8) Move 3-lb boxes from floor to table

The corn-oil concentration measurements from within the suit, along with the known concentration of corn-oil aerosol in the test chamber, is used to calculate the protection factor (PF) of the suit ensemble for the test conditions. Essentially, PF is a measure of the reduction in cumulative exposure to the aerosol afforded by the suit. A higher percentage of suits that pass at higher PFs means better protection.

The PF for an ensemble design is affected by the fit of the suit, the design of its seals and closures, and the amount of air exhaled by the wearer during the test. The results for a given suit design often vary widely from one test run to the next, the calculated values of PF for each suit design are compared to some PF values (2, 5, 10) to make the distribution of results more apparent. Also, because the PF is often affected greatly by the volunteer's movements, the two parts of each test run are analyzed and presented separately. These data were compiled and summarized for all the actual suit designs in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of Overall Aerosol Test Results

Item	Percentage of Test Runs Where PF Met Each Hypothetical PF Threshold Value					
	2		5		10	
	Pre-Operational	Operational	Pre-Operational	Operational	Pre-Operational	Operational
TFR4 CB Protective Coverall	58	46	0	0	0	0
Kappler ProShield 3 Coverall	50	50	13	0	0	0
Kappler CPF1 Coverall	50	46	0	0	0	0
Kappler CPF2 Coverall	50	50	13	0	0	0
Kappler CPF4 Coverall	46	50	0	0	0	0
Kappler Responder (41255-8A)	42	21	0	0	0	0
Kappler Level B CSM Responder (42489)	50	50	8	4	0	0

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The test data reveals that the OSHA Level B suits tested can protect the wearers from liquid CW agents but only provide minimal protection from a vapor or aerosol threat. In other words, the OSHA Level B suit material does provide limited skin protection, but the suit itself provides little or no skin protection. Therefore, these OSHA Level B suits are not recommended for use where either vapor or aerosolized CW agents may be present.